
From: Mantych, Timothy
To: Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Ryan, James <FTA>
Sent: 5/21/2009 6:47:54 AM
Subject: RE: Sequencing of events for Honolulu
Attachments: Agenda-Honolulu RA 09-06-02.doc

Jim – The difference in overall project cost are primarily the result of base cost adjustments (e.g. escalation, Excise Tax, and Line Item Adjustments). Each of those items will be addressed in detail during the workshop on Wednesday as listed on the agenda. Our intent is to have an agreement on these items before we depart. We would then incorporate that information into an update of the Spot Report to reflect the Airport Alignment. That is our understanding of the scope for this Work Order.

Please let me know if you have additional questions.

Thank you,

Timothy L. Mantych, P.E. (MO, IL)
Jacobs

FTA PMOC Program Manager
501 North Broadway
St. Louis, MO 63102
Phone: 314.335.4454
Mobile: 314.614.1386
tim.mantych@jacobs.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Nadeem.Tahir@dot.gov [mailto:Nadeem.Tahir@dot.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 11:03 AM
To: Mantych, Timothy
Cc: James.Ryan@dot.gov
Subject: FW: Sequencing of events for Honolulu

Could you please add an item to the agenda per Jim Ryan. Thanks.

Nadeem S. Tahir, P.E., CCM.
Director, Office of Program Management and Oversight
U.S.D.O.T. Federal Transit Administration, Region IX
201 Mission Street, Ste 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105
415-744-3113 (w), 415-264-3316 (c), 415-744-2726 (fax)

From: Ryan, James <FTA>
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2009 4:03 AM
To: Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Nutakor, Chris <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>
Cc: Nguyen, Kim <FTA>; James, Aaron <FTA>
Subject: RE: Sequencing of events for Honolulu

Great.

Nadeem: Can we add to the agenda for the Honolulu visit an explicit final item that is to identify the next steps that will be taken to bring the cost issues to closure -- sort of a mini-roadmap for costs?

Thanks.

From: Carranza, Edward <FTA>
Sent: Wed 5/20/2009 7:06 PM
To: Nutakor, Chris <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Ryan, James <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>
Cc: Nguyen, Kim <FTA>; James, Aaron <FTA>
Subject: RE: Sequencing of events for Honolulu

Kim I believe has the answer which is full steam ahead with the visit, but she wanted to get buy-in from her own TPM folks first. But it does make sense as far as concurrent actions, and Nadeem has been made aware not to cancel his or Cathy's GovTrip's which were approved yesterday. We trust Toru will be quick with his response to the spot report.

From: Nutakor, Chris <FTA>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 3:27 PM
To: Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Ryan, James <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>
Cc: Nguyen, Kim <FTA>; James, Aaron <FTA>
Subject: Re: Sequencing of events for Honolulu

I agree. That is the best approach.

From: Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>
To: Ryan, James <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>
Cc: Nguyen, Kim <FTA>; Nutakor, Chris <FTA>; James, Aaron <FTA>
Sent: Wed May 20 18:17:15 2009
Subject: RE: Sequencing of events for Honolulu

I would add to Jim's e-mail is that an important part of the visit was also to resolve the cost and other detailed comments on the draft report, wrap the new alignment into it and finalize an updated risk report on the current alignment.

Nadeem S. Tahir, P.E., CCM.
Director, Office of Program Management and Oversight
U.S.D.O.T. Federal Transit Administration, Region IX
201 Mission Street, Ste 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105
415-744-3113 (w), 415-264-3316 (c), 415-744-2726 (fax)

From: Ryan, James <FTA>
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 2:59 PM
To: Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>
Cc: Nguyen, Kim <FTA>; Nutakor, Chris <FTA>
Subject: Sequencing of events for Honolulu

Folks:

I'm trying to be clear on the next steps in Honolulu. My (uncertain) understanding of the Jacobs visit to Honolulu the week of June 1 was that they were assigned to focus on the new segment of the alignment through the airport. In our call with Honolulu this afternoon, we concluded that the next step will focus on resolving differences on the cost estimate (based on the review of the project with the Salt Lake segment). We also concluded that the review of the airport alignment would happen after we came to closure on the cost differences. So, it would appear that the purpose of the visit has changed.

My question is whether we really want to proceed in this sequential fashion – particularly in view of our reliance on Jacobs site visit starting June 1. Aren't there opportunities to pursue both the resolution of differences on the earlier cost review and the launch of the cost review on the airport alignment? It seems that we could save some time without much (or any?) risk of confusing the issues.

Let me know....
Thanks.

Jim Ryan
FTA Office of Planning and Environment
1200 New Jersey Ave., SE; Room E43-301
Washington, DC 20590
james.ryan@dot.gov
202-366-0954

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.